CONSENT AGENDA

AGENDA ITEM 12.07
December 13, 2011

TO: Mayor and City Council

FROM: Robert Murdoch, Director
       Public Works Department

SUBJECT: APPROVE CONTRACT CHANGE ORDER NO. 3 WITH SIEGFRIED
         ENGINEERING, INC. - SUTTER STREET PEDESTRIAN/BICYCLE
         BRIDGE ACROSS THE CALAVERAS RIVER (PROJECT NO. 08-25)

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the City Council adopt a motion authorizing the City Manager to
approve Contract Change Order No. 3 with Siegfried Engineering, Inc. in the amount of
$50,815.78, for additional services related to the Sutter Street Pedestrian/Bicycle
Bridge, Project No. 08-25. It is further recommended that the motion authorize the City
Manager to record any and all transactions necessary to carry out the purpose and
intent of the motion.

Summary

The adopted City Bicycle Master Plan includes a bridge crossing of the Calaveras River
just east of El Dorado Street and the installation of Class II bike lanes on Sutter Street
from Ingram Street to Alpine Avenue and on Alpine Avenue from Sutter Street to
California Street. The goal of the Sutter Street Pedestrian/Bicycle Bridget Project
(Project) was to design and build these improvements.

However, in response to significant community opposition, a number of additional
alternatives were studied and presented to the public until an acceptable project could
be identified. This additional work was not anticipated in the scope of work with
Siegfried Engineering, the engineering firm hired to conduct the environmental and
design phases of the project.

The acceptable alternative will incorporated the pedestrian/bicycle crossing into the
existing El Dorado Street/Calaveras River Bridge. To do this, the sidewalk along the
northbound direction or east side of El Dorado Street will be widened to meet a Class I
bike path standard. This will mean that the third northbound lane on El Dorado Street
that was planned to start just south of the Calaveras River Bridge will now have to start
just north of the Bridge, which it does today. In addition, the Class II bike lanes planned
on Sutter Street will be changed to a Class III bike route from Ingram Street to Fulton
Avenue so that on street parking can be maintained in front of homes.

To continue forward with this Project, Change Order No. 3 in the amount of $50,815.78
needs to be executed with Siegfried Engineering. This funding will provide for
surveying, mapping, and design, as well as additional public meetings and website
management. Siegfried Engineering will also do the engineering work necessary to incorporate the preferred alternative into the El Dorado Street Precise Plan and the City Bicycle Master Plan.

There are sufficient Measure K Non-Competitive Bicycle funds available for this change order and staff salaries. There is no impact to the General Fund as a result of taking the recommended actions.

DISCUSSION

Background

The Project was originally planned to construct a pedestrian/bicycle bridge over the Calaveras River near Sutter Street and to construct Class 2 bicycle lanes on Sutter Street from Ingram Street to Alpine Avenue and on Alpine Avenue from Sutter Street to California Street. This plan was in conformance with the City Bicycle Master Plan, and would close a gap between the Class 1 Calaveras River Bicycle Path and the Class 2 bicycle lanes on California Street, which lead to downtown.

On April 14, 2009, Council approved an Amendment to Siegfried's Professional Services Master Contract, in the amount of $323,809, to provide preliminary engineering, environmental clearance, and final design services for the Project (Resolution No. 09-0105). The scope of work included evaluation of the Sutter Street location shown on the City Bicycle Master Plan.

Beginning with the first public meeting, held on July 22, 2009, the neighborhood in the vicinity of the project expressed strong opposition with components of the project. The 71 residents in attendance expressed three major concerns: 1) the location of the bridge would be a direct connection to the Kentfield neighborhood which would increase crime; 2) that parking along Sutter Street would have to be removal to accommodate the Class II bike lanes; and 3) maintenance and graffiti abatement for the new bridge would not be adequate.

To address community concerns, to obtain local feedback, and to generate public support for the project, a Citizen Advisory Group (CAG) was formed and four meetings were held. The CAG included five homeowners, representatives from Casa Mañana Inn, Central Church of Christ, St. Luke's Church, Kentfield Action Team, Stockton Bicycle Club, and the Stockton Police Department. Two of the homeowners were selected at-large and three were appointed by Councilmember Lowery.
The CAG committee met with the design team three times between September 2009 and May 2010, and evaluated two additional alternative bridge locations – Alternatives A & B which are shown on Attachment A and described as follows:

- Alternative A - 300-feet east of the El Dorado Street Bridge
- Alternative B - 300-feet west of the El Dorado Street Bridge

In addition, traffic studies were prepared to evaluate different bicycle classifications on Sutter Street to address the concerns about the loss of parking.

The results of the CAG deliberations and design team recalculations were presented at a second public information meeting held on May 24, 2010. Alternatives A & B received a limited community support as well as continued opposition. However, changing the Class II bike lanes to a Class III bike route on Sutter Street from Ingram Street to Fulton Avenue did receive support because it would maintain the on-street parking. In addition, the alternative to change from Class II bike lanes to a Class I bike path on Alpine Avenue from Sutter Street to California Street also received support. These bike designation changes are shown on Attachment B.

Because many residents still opposed the Project, staff developed a third alternative, Alternative C, which is shown on Attachment A. This alternative would widen the existing sidewalk on the east side of the El Dorado Street/Calaveras River bridge to 12-feet to create a Class I bicycle path. This bicycle path would continue on the south levee of the Calaveras River from El Dorado Street to Sutter Street where it would tie into the proposed Class III bicycle route as shown on Attachment B.

Alternative C was presented to the CAG committee on May 12, 2011, and was strongly supported. Therefore, a third public meeting was held on July 11, 2011. The Project received neighborhood support, providing the following changes were incorporated:

1) Widen the El Dorado Street Bridge’s sidewalk to create a 12-foot wide protected Class I bicycle/pedestrian path, and construct a 1,200-foot long, 12-foot wide Class I paved bicycle path along the south levee of the Calaveras River to connect the bridge to Sutter Street;

2) Change the Class II bicycle lanes on Sutter Street from Ingram Street to Fulton Avenue to a Class III bicycle route so that on-street parking can be maintained. This would retain the Class II bicycle lanes on Sutter Street from Fulton Avenue to Alpine Avenue with parking removed on the east side of the street along Oak Park); and
3) Change the Class II bicycle lanes on Alpine Avenue from Sutter Street to California Street to a Class I bicycle path through Oak Park.

Present Situation

To start the public meeting process in 2009, preliminary engineering for the Project was completed for the original alternative. To make the changes endorsed by the CAG and supported by the neighborhood, this work must be redone for Alternative C. The additional work to support the new plan includes added surveying, mapping, and pavement and bridge design. Additional public outreach will also be required. In addition, amendments must be made to the El Dorado Street Precise Plan, as the El Dorado Street Bridge’s future third northbound lane will be eliminated to make room for the Class I pedestrian/bicycle path, and to the City Bicycle Master Plan to reflect the change to the bridge location, the change to the bicycle lane classifications on Sutter Street, and the replacement of the Alpine Avenue Class II bicycle lanes with a new Class I bicycle path through Oak Park. The amendments to the El Dorado Street Precise Plan and the City Bicycle Master Plan will require approval by the Planning Commission and City Council.

Siegfried Engineering has submitted a proposal for the additional work, and staff has negotiated the additional services and fees. Staff considers a cost of $50,815.78 to be reasonable for the work to be performed. The proposed fee and scope are included in Contract Change Order No. 3 and included as Attachment C for reference.

To date, a total of two contract change orders have been processed by staff. The first was for $5,490 and funded notices in the Stockton Record, Bilingual Weekly, and the Latino Times for the Project’s first public meeting. The second, for $14,827, funded a traffic study on Sutter Street to determine if a Class III bike route would work.

If Contract Change Order No. 3 is approved, the design phase will be completed by September 2013. This schedule includes the additional time needed for to amend the El Dorado Street Precise Plan and the City Bicycle Master Plan. At this time, there is no funding available for the construction phase, but staff will continue to seek grant sources.

FINANCIAL SUMMARY

This project is being funded from Account No. 080-6717-640 (Sutter Street Pedestrian/Bicycle Project). This project is funded by Measure K Non-Competitive Bicycle funds, so named because the City receives a formula share from the San Joaquin Council of Governments to be used for any legitimate public bicycle transportation purpose. This project has been allocated a total of $495,000 in Measure
K Non-Competitive Bicycle funds, which represents a $95,000 increase over the original $400,000 allocation. The $95,000 increase is sufficient to fund Contract Change Order No. 3 in the amount of $50,815.78. Remaining additional funds will be used for staff costs and other project expenses. The following financial action is required:

Increase revenue estimate and appropriate to:

080-6717
Measure K Non-Competitive Bicycle funds, Sutter Street Bridge +$95,000

The City Manager is authorized to record these transactions, and to undertake additional appropriate financial actions that may be required to carry out the intent of the motion. There is no impact to the General Fund as a result of taking the recommended actions.

Respectfully submitted,

ROBERT MURDOCH, DIRECTOR
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

PROPOSED

MICHAEL E. LOCKE
DEPUTY CITY MANAGER

Attachments:  A - Pedestrian/Bicycle Bridge Alternative Locations
              B - Project Area Map
              C - Contract Change Order No. 3
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SUTTER STREET PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLE BRIDGE PROJECT AREA
CITY OF STOCKTON

Contract Change Order No. 3

SUTTER STREET PEDESTRIAN & BIKE BRIDGE
Project No. 08-25

Original Contract Price $ 323,809.00
CCO Nos. 1 thru 2 $ 20,317.00
CCO No. 3 $ 50,815.78
Revised Contract Price $ 394,941.78

TO Siegfried Engineering, Inc. (Contractor). You are hereby directed to make the herein described changes from the plans and specifications or do the following described work not included in the plans and specifications of this contract.

Per the attached letter dated October 18, 2011, and made a part of this CCO, in general, the Scope of Services are as follows:

1. Additional topographic survey, geotechnical engineering and design due to the selection of the El Dorado Street Bridge alternative.
2. Conduct additional Citizens Advisory Group and public meetings.
3. Attend City Council and Planning Commission meetings.

The additional compensation, if any, included in this change order constitutes full compensation, including all mark ups, profits, surcharges, taxes and overhead costs, for the change in work as specified.

We, the undersigned contractor, have given careful consideration to the change proposed and hereby agree, if this proposal is approved that we will provide all equipment, furnish all materials, except as may otherwise be noted above, and perform all services necessary for the work above specified, and will accept as full payment therefore the prices shown above.

By reason of this proposed change, 120 days extension of time will be allowed.

Accepted Date 11-17-11

SIEGFRIED ENGINEERING, INC.

By Anthony J. Lopes

Title President

Note: This change order is not effective until approved by the City Manager or if under Council approved limits, Department Director.

Increase
Total cost of change not to exceed $ 50,815.78

Submitted by
Ray Deyo, Associate Civil Engineer

Approval Recommended:
Alex Menor, Engineering Services Manager

Do the changes above have any potential impact on the use of Public Facility Fees, Measure K, Gas Tax and/or other restricted funding sources? (Circle One) YES/NO

If Yes, Budget Officer or designee to verify available funding

Available Funding Verified
Grace Petines, Sr. Admin, Analyst

Date approved ____________________________

Robert Murdoch, Director, Public Works Department

Bob Deis, City Manager

City Council Resolution No. ____________________________

APPROVED AS TO FORM & CONTENT:
OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY

BY ____________________________
October 18, 2011

Mr. Ray Deyto  
City of Stockton  
Public Works Department  
22 E. Weber Ave., Room 301  
Stockton, CA  95202-2317

Dear Ray:

Subject: Calaveras River Bicycle Bridge

As requested, we have prepared a scope of services and an accompanying fee schedule for the modification of the El Dorado Street Bridge to accommodate the proposed Bicycle and Pedestrian path in conjunction with the Sutter Street Bike Path. In general, this scope includes the design of bridge modifications and an additional Class I Bike path along the south bank of the Calaveras River between El Dorado and Sutter Streets. The following is our detailed scope of additional or modified services:

I. ORIGINAL CONTRACT MODIFICATIONS

Phase 2120  
Geotechnical Engineering  
Perform one additional boring adjacent to the El Dorado Bridge near the southeast corner of the bridge for ramp and retaining wall designs in that area ($2,750.00).

Phase 2130  
Omitted D & A Hydraulic Studies since bridge piers are no longer in the floodway ($12,944.00 credit).

Phase 2200  
Increased project management serviced due to change in scope ($1,995.00).

Phase 2520  
Environmental Engineering  
Conduct Biological and Cultural surveys of the affected areas for inclusion in the previously initiated CEQA documentation. Complete the original scope of Environmental services excluding the Grant Writing assistance task ($1,100.00).

Phase 2610  
Construction Documents
1. Update previous 60% construction documents to incorporate the new path and bridge modifications. This task includes the design of bridge girder repairs based on our recent discovery of girder damage ($24,006.00).
2. Under the 60% task we have already provided the following additional services:
   a. Evaluated numerous bridge location alternatives, per City request.
   b. Provide information for the Citizen Advisory Group and three public
      information meetings.

Phase 2620
Reduced 90% plan fees for reduction of bridge design efforts ($9,986.00 credit).

Phase 2700
Omitted Grant Application Services ($2,967.00 credit).

Phase 3001
Supplementary Topographic Survey
Perform a topographic and boundary survey of the south levee adjacent to the El
Dorado Street Bridge to facilitate the locating and design of the bike path in this
area ($6,570.00).

II. APPROVED CHANGE ORDERS
Phase 2421
Credit for unused advertising costs ($1,705.78 credit).

III. ADDITIONAL SERVICES REQUESTED
Phase 2422
Public Meetings (Task Already Completed) ($11,242.71)
1. Hold one additional Community Advisory Group (CAG) Meeting to update the
members on the new bridge modification proposal including scheduling the
meeting, notifying members, presentation materials, conducting the meeting,
and updating the project website.
2. Hold one additional Public Meeting including scheduling the meeting,
notifying members, presentation materials, conducting the meeting, updating
the project website, and advertising.

Phase 2650
Performed additional topographic survey of the levee and adjacent Service First
property and evaluate potential bridge and ramp locations ($4,699.75).

Phase 2800
Additional City Council (CC) and Planning Commission (PC) Meetings
($2,597.00)
1. Attend CC meeting for the initial presentation of the bike path relocation
concepts.
2. Attend CC and PC meetings for the Bicycle Master Plan Amendments. This
includes preparing exhibits for a City staff presentation.
3. Attend CC and PC meetings for the El Dorado Street Precise Road Plan
Amendment. This includes preparing exhibits for a City staff presentation.
Phase 2801
Continue to host and maintain project website ($1,760.00).

Consultation services related to the Precise Road Plan Amendments including:
Phase 2802
Kleinfelder – Preparation of CEQA documentation for amendment of the El Dorado Street project EIR ($5,500.00).

Phase 2803
Fehr & Pehrs – Preparation of amendments to the El Dorado Street Traffic Study ($5,280.00).

Phase 2804
One Public Meeting including all public meeting tasks per original contract ($10,918.00).

Please review the attached spreadsheet for changes in our contact amounts.

Our overall change order request is in the amount of $ 50,815.78.

We look forward to working with you to bring this project to a successful completion. If you should have any questions or need additional information, please do not hesitate to give us a call.

Sincerely,

SIEGFRIED

enclosures
**Calaveras River - Sutter Street Bicycle and Pedestrian Path Project**

**Summary of Fees**

**October 14, 2011**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SEI Phase Number</th>
<th>Task I.D.</th>
<th>Task Description</th>
<th>Original Contract Budget Fees</th>
<th>Change Order No. 1</th>
<th>Change Order No. 2</th>
<th>Fees Billed to Date</th>
<th>Current Fees Remaining</th>
<th>Change Order No. 3 Request</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2100</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Initial Research</td>
<td>9,192.00</td>
<td>$ 9,185.75</td>
<td>$ 6.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2120</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>Kleinfielder - Geotechnical</td>
<td>17,432.00</td>
<td>$ 12,526.07</td>
<td>$ 4,905.93</td>
<td>$ 2,750.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2130</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>D&amp;A Hydraulic Studies ***</td>
<td>12,944.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$ 12,944.00</td>
<td>(12,944.00)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2200</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Project Management Services</td>
<td>20,124.00</td>
<td>$ 17,090.75</td>
<td>$ 2,133.25</td>
<td>$ 1,995.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2410</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>SEI Public Outreach</td>
<td>16,266.00</td>
<td>$ 16,308.50</td>
<td>$ (425.50)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2420</td>
<td>4.2-B</td>
<td>JBC Public Outreach</td>
<td>19,812.00</td>
<td>$ 19,695.14</td>
<td>$ 116.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2510</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>SEI Environmental Coordination/Permits</td>
<td>9,884.00</td>
<td>$ 1,615.00</td>
<td>$ 8,269.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2520</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>ESA Environmental Consultation</td>
<td>77,732.00</td>
<td>$ 25,531.01</td>
<td>$ 52,200.99</td>
<td>$ 1,100.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2610</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>60% Improvement Plans***</td>
<td>52,696.00</td>
<td>$ 56,423.02</td>
<td>(3,727.02)</td>
<td>$ 24,006.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2620</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>50% Improvement Plans</td>
<td>31,566.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$ 31,606.00</td>
<td>$ (2,086.00)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2630</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>Final Improvement Plans</td>
<td>15,970.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$ 15,970.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2700</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Grant Application ESA Environmental</td>
<td>2,967.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$ 2,967.00</td>
<td>$ (2,967.00)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3001</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Topo &amp; Boundary Survey</td>
<td>15,676.00</td>
<td>$ 16,420.25</td>
<td>(744.25)</td>
<td>$ 6,570.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9500</td>
<td></td>
<td>Project Reimbursable Expenses</td>
<td>21,208.00</td>
<td>$ 16,057.61</td>
<td>$ 5,150.39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Original Contract Subtotals**

$ 323,809.00

$ 191,753.10

$ 132,055.90

$ 10,524.00

**Approved Change Orders**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2421</th>
<th>4.2-B</th>
<th>JBC Advertising - Change Order No. 1</th>
<th>$ 5,490.00</th>
<th>$ 17,090.75</th>
<th>$ 1,705.78</th>
<th>(1,705.78)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2140</td>
<td></td>
<td>F&amp;P Traffic Study - Change Order No. 2</td>
<td>$ 14,827.00</td>
<td>$ 14,811.03</td>
<td>(54.03)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Approved Change Order Subtotals**

$ 5,490.00

$ 14,827.00

$ 18,655.25

$ 1,651.75

(1,705.78)

**Additional Services Requested - Proposed Change Order No. 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2422</th>
<th>4.2-B</th>
<th>Citizen Advisory Group*</th>
<th>$ 11,242.71</th>
<th>$ 11,242.71</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2650</td>
<td></td>
<td>Service First Topo*</td>
<td>$ 4,609.75</td>
<td>$ 4,609.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2600</td>
<td></td>
<td>Planning Commission and City Council Meetings</td>
<td>$ 2,597.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2601</td>
<td></td>
<td>Website Hosting and Maintenance</td>
<td>$ 1,760.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2602</td>
<td></td>
<td>Kleinfielder -FRP Amendment</td>
<td>$ 5,500.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2803</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fehr &amp; Peers - PRP Amendment</td>
<td>$ 5,280.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2804</td>
<td></td>
<td>PRP - Public Meeting</td>
<td>$ 10,918.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Additional Tasks Requested Subtotal**

$ 15,942.46

$ 41,997.56

**Totals**

$ 226,360.81

$ 133,707.65

$ 50,815.78

* SEI Phase numbers 2422 and 2650 were additional services provided upon email confirmation. No official change order was ever issued.
** Services for the additional CAG and Public Meetings above and beyond task 2422 were billed under phase 2610.
*** Task 2130, D&A Hydraulic Study is no longer required and is shown as a credit against the requested change order no. 3.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task Code</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Start Date</th>
<th>End Date</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0100</td>
<td>Preliminary &amp; Conceptual</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0200</td>
<td>Detailed Design</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0300</td>
<td>Construction Documents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0400</td>
<td>Construction Documents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0500</td>
<td>Grant Application</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0600</td>
<td>Topographic Survey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0700</td>
<td>Change Order No. 1 Credit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0800</td>
<td>Circum Advisory Group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0900</td>
<td>Service Pest Control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>Planning Commission City Council Meetings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1100</td>
<td>Webinar Hosting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1200</td>
<td>Interim PHF Amendments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1300</td>
<td>Falls &amp; Poles PHF Amendments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1400</td>
<td>PHF Public Meeting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>Total Hours and Consultant Fees</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1600</td>
<td>Public Works Hourly Change Rates and Consultant Fee Rates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Fees: Miscellaneous Costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task Name</td>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>Start</td>
<td>Finish</td>
<td>Predecessors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepare for Council to Reject Bids</td>
<td>26 days</td>
<td>Tue 1/12/12</td>
<td>Tue 2/26/12</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Council Date to Reject All Bids</td>
<td>0 days</td>
<td>Tue 2/28/12</td>
<td>Tue 2/28/12</td>
<td>44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BID</strong></td>
<td>41 days</td>
<td>Mon 8/13/12</td>
<td>Mon 10/8/12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepare to Re-Advertise</td>
<td>15 days</td>
<td>Mon 8/13/12</td>
<td>Fri 8/3/12</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advance Period</td>
<td>21 days</td>
<td>Mon 9/9/12</td>
<td>Mon 10/12</td>
<td>47,45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Bids</td>
<td>0 days</td>
<td>Mon 10/11</td>
<td>Mon 10/12</td>
<td>46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process Bids</td>
<td>5 days</td>
<td>Tue 10/12</td>
<td>Mon 10/18</td>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AWARD</strong></td>
<td>64 days</td>
<td>Mon 9/3/12</td>
<td>Thu 11/2/12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft Staff Report to Award Contract</td>
<td>10 days</td>
<td>Mon 9/3/12</td>
<td>Fri 9/14/12</td>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepare for Council to Award Contract</td>
<td>23 days</td>
<td>Tue 9/10/12</td>
<td>Thu 9/12</td>
<td>52,50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Council Date to Award Contract</td>
<td>0 days</td>
<td>Thu 9/11/12</td>
<td>Thu 9/12</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process PO</td>
<td>15 days</td>
<td>Fri 9/11/12</td>
<td>Thu 11/2/12</td>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Conf Meeting</td>
<td>5 days</td>
<td>Fri 11/2/12</td>
<td>Thu 12/6</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issue NTP SCENARIO 2 -- Construction</td>
<td>0 days</td>
<td>Thu 12/6</td>
<td>Thu 12/6</td>
<td>56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SCENARIO 3 -- IF NMP FUNDING NOT AVAILABLE</strong></td>
<td>353 days</td>
<td>Tue 1/17/12</td>
<td>Thu 5/23/13</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finish Consensus on What to Build</td>
<td>10 days</td>
<td>Tue 1/17/12</td>
<td>Mon 1/23/12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Get Design Proposal to Revise Construction Plans</td>
<td>10 days</td>
<td>Tue 1/17/12</td>
<td>Mon 1/23/12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft Staff Report to Reject All Bids and Amend Design Contract</td>
<td>5 days</td>
<td>Tue 3/12/12</td>
<td>Mon 3/19/12</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepare for Council to Reject All Bids and Amend Design Contract</td>
<td>26 days</td>
<td>Tue 2/7/12</td>
<td>Tue 3/13/12</td>
<td>61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Council Date to Reject All Bids and Amend Design Contract</td>
<td>0 days</td>
<td>Tue 3/13/12</td>
<td>Tue 3/19/12</td>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process PO</td>
<td>15 days</td>
<td>Wed 3/14/12</td>
<td>Tue 4/3/12</td>
<td>63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SCENARIO 3 -- Re-Design to Phrase Construction</strong></td>
<td>25 days</td>
<td>Tue 1/17/12</td>
<td>Mon 2/12/12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepare Scoured Down Construction PS&amp;E</td>
<td>10 days</td>
<td>Tue 1/17/12</td>
<td>Mon 1/23/12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admin Review of PS&amp;E</td>
<td>5 days</td>
<td>Tue 1/31/12</td>
<td>Mon 2/6/12</td>
<td>66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Construction PS&amp;E</td>
<td>10 days</td>
<td>Thu 2/14/12</td>
<td>Mon 2/20/12</td>
<td>67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approve PS&amp;E by Director</td>
<td>0 days</td>
<td>Mon 2/20/12</td>
<td>Mon 2/26/12</td>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BID</strong></td>
<td>43 days</td>
<td>Tue 2/21/12</td>
<td>Thu 4/19/12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepare to Re-Advertise</td>
<td>15 days</td>
<td>Tue 2/21/12</td>
<td>Mon 3/1/12</td>
<td>69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advance Period</td>
<td>21 days</td>
<td>Thu 3/1/12</td>
<td>Thu 4/12/12</td>
<td>71,63FS+1 day</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Bids</td>
<td>0 days</td>
<td>Thu 3/12/12</td>
<td>Thu 4/12/12</td>
<td>72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process Bids</td>
<td>5 days</td>
<td>Fri 3/13/12</td>
<td>Fri 4/19/12</td>
<td>73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AWARD</strong></td>
<td>64 days</td>
<td>Thu 3/15/12</td>
<td>Tue 5/23/12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft Staff Report to Award Contract</td>
<td>10 days</td>
<td>Thu 3/15/12</td>
<td>Wed 5/22/12</td>
<td>72,65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepare for Council to Award Contract</td>
<td>23 days</td>
<td>Fri 4/20/12</td>
<td>Tue 5/22/12</td>
<td>76,74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Council Date to Award Contract</td>
<td>0 days</td>
<td>Tue 5/22/12</td>
<td>Tue 5/22/12</td>
<td>77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process PO</td>
<td>15 days</td>
<td>Wed 5/23/12</td>
<td>Wed 6/1/12</td>
<td>78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Conf Meeting</td>
<td>5 days</td>
<td>Wed 6/1/12</td>
<td>Wed 6/8/12</td>
<td>79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issue NTP SCENARIO 3 -- Construction</td>
<td>0 days</td>
<td>Wed 6/8/12</td>
<td>Wed 6/15/12</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SCENARIO 1 -- Construction</strong></td>
<td>120 days</td>
<td>Wed 3/7/12</td>
<td>Tue 8/2/12</td>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SCENARIO 2 -- Construction</strong></td>
<td>120 days</td>
<td>Fri 3/7/12</td>
<td>Tue 8/2/12</td>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SCENARIO 3 -- Construction</strong></td>
<td>60 days</td>
<td>Wed 6/20/12</td>
<td>Tue 9/11/12</td>
<td>81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>